EA-87-02

CAZON EAB -HZG





ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT BOARD

VOLUME:

237

DATE:

Friday, September 21, 1990

BEFORE:

A. KOVEN

Chairman

E. MARTEL

Member



FOR HEARING UPDATES CALL (TOLL-FREE): 1-800-387-8810



(416) 482-3277

2300 Yonge St., Suite 709, Toronto, Canada M4P 1E4



HEARING ON THE PROPOSAL BY THE MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES FOR A CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR TIMBER MANAGEMENT ON CROWN LANDS IN ONTARIO

IN THE MATTER of the Environmental Assessment Act, R.S.O. 1980, c.140;

- and -

IN THE MATTER of the Class Environmental Assessment for Timber Management on Crown Lands in Ontario;

- and -

IN THE MATTER OF a Notice by the Honourable Jim Bradley, Minister of the Environment, requiring the Environmental Assessment Board to hold a hearing with respect to a Class Environmental Assessment (No. NR-AA-30) of an undertaking by the Ministry of Natural Resources for the activity of timber management on Crown Lands in Ontario.

Hearing held at the offices of the Ontario Highway Transport Commission, Britannica Building, 151 Bloor Street West, 10th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, on Friday, September 21st, 1990, commencing at 8:30 a.m.

VOLUME 237

BEFORE:

MRS. ANNE KOVEN MR. ELIE MARTEL

Chairman Member Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2023 with funding from University of Toronto

(i)

APPEARANCES

| MS. | C. | FREIDIN, Q.C. BLASTORAH MURPHY |) | MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES |
|------------|----------|--|---|---|
| MS. | J. | CAMPBELL SEABORN HARVIE |) | MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT |
| MR. MS. | R. E. | TUER, Q.C. COSMAN CRONK R. CASSIDY |) | ONTARIO FOREST INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION and ONTARIO LUMBER MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION |
| MR. | н. | TURKSTRA | | ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT BOARD |
| | | HANNA QUINNEY | | ONTARIO FEDERATION OF ANGLERS & HUNTERS |
| | | HUNTER KLEER | | NISHNAWBE-ASKI NATION and WINDIGO TRIBAL COUNCIL |
| MS. | М. | F. CASTRILLI SWENARCHUK LINDGREN |) | FORESTS FOR TOMORROW |
| MS. | L. | SANFORD NICHOLLS WOOD |) | KIMBERLY-CLARK OF CANADA LIMITED and SPRUCE FALLS POWER & PAPER COMPANY |
| MR. | D. | MacDONALD | | ONTARIO FEDERATION OF LABOUR |
| MR. | R. | COTTON | | BOISE CASCADE OF CANADA |
| | | GERVAIS BARNES |) | ONTARIO TRAPPERS ASSOCIATION |
| | | EDWARDS MCKERCHER |) | NORTHERN ONTARIO TOURIST OUTFITTERS ASSOCIATION |

.

(ii)

APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

| | L. GREENSPOON B. LLOYD |) | NORTHWATCH |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| | J.W. ERICKSON, Q.C. B. BABCOCK | * | RED LAKE-EAR FALLS JOINT MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE |
| | D. SCOTT J.S. TAYLOR | | NORTHWESTERN ONTARIO ASSOCIATED CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE |
| | J.W. HARBELL S.M. MAKUCH |) | GREAT LAKES FOREST |
| MR. | J. EBBS | | ONTARIO PROFESSIONAL FORESTERS ASSOCIATION |
| MR. | D. KING | | VENTURE TOURISM ASSOCIATION OF ONTARIO |
| | D. COLBORNE S.V. BAIR-MUIRHEAD | , | GRAND COUNCIL TREATY #3 |
| MR. | R. REILLY | | ONTARIO METIS & ABORIGINAL ASSOCIATION |
| MR. | H. GRAHAM | | CANADIAN INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY (CENTRAL ONTARIO SECTION) |
| MR. | G.J. KINLIN | | DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE |
| MR. | S.J. STEPINAC | | MINISTRY OF NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT & MINES |
| MR. | M. COATES | | ONTARIO FORESTRY ASSOCIATION |
| MR. | P. ODORIZZI | | BEARDMORE-LAKE NIPIGON WATCHDOG SOCIETY |

(E-1000) PETERSONAL

WORLDWAY TON

CONTRACTOR OF SER

MINISTER CAST PALL OF

MIL J.W. ESTCHRON, G.C.,

CONTRACTOR CANADA

17000 .0 .00

PERSON WINEL TAXABLE

SCHOOL NOT THE

LANCESCO DE SERVICE

SMMS . L . MM

OLIMBO SUNGO

BREW O LIM

THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN

CASULTANICO DE CONTRACTOR DE C

HOLESTON AND LOTATION

MALES A LON

THE PERSON NAMED IN

MAHASD .D . OF

DATE OF BUILDING

BURNESS - D. P. - AM

CHARLES OF STREET

DANSSTEE .L.B . RM

THE RESERVE

DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY NAMED IN

WORLD STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE

AND AND AND ASSESSED.

APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

MR. R.L. AXFORD CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF

SINGLE INDUSTRY TOWNS

MR. M.O. EDWARDS FORT FRANCES CHAMBER OF

COMMERCE

MR. P.D. McCUTCHEON GEORGE NIXON

MR. C. BRUNETTA NORTHWESTERN ONTARIO

TOURISM ASSOCIATION

1000

(B. 1962) BUSINESSEE

TO ADDITION OF THE PARTY OF THE

TO ASSESSED TO THE PARTY OF THE

Mary Evilla management and advantagement court and

HOLDER OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO COL

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

Page No.

SCOPING SESSION

43031-43044

| 1 | Upon | commencing | at | 8:35 | a.m. |
|---|------|------------|----|------|------|
|---|------|------------|----|------|------|

2 MADAM CHAIR: Please be seated.

3 MS. SWENARCHUK: Good morning.

MADAM CHAIR: Good morning.

Before we get started with Forests for Tomorrow's Panels 1 and 1A, I think that Mr. Pascoe has informed all the parties of OFIA/OLMA's request for an extension of the September 28th deadline for the terms and conditions and some other parties have gotten in touch with us as well.

The Board is going to agree to the

extension of the deadline for all parties. It is our

view that this is an important exercise. If an

extension will give parties a chance to spend more time

putting more detail into their terms and conditions or

discussing them among each other, then the Board thinks

that that might be productive. So all parties will

have an extension until November the 28th.

MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair, if I could just make one note for the record - and I want to apologize in advance for any confusion I may have caused in my submissions to Mr. Pascoe or the Board when we were in Hearst - it was my intention, and I am going to have to go back and check my wording in the transcript, to ask for that extension across the board

| 1 | for | all | parties, | rather | than | suggesting | that | it | was |
|---|------|-----|----------|--------|------|------------|------|----|-----|
| 2 | just | for | myself. | | | | | ~ | |

I never try to seek an unfair advantage for my client, and under the circumstances I just want to make it clear on the record that my request for an extension was for all the parties, and I guess I could speak on behalf of all parties and thank you for granting that extension.

MADAM CHAIR: Well, again, we think it's an important exercise and if that extension will go some way towards improving the quality of everyone's terms and conditions, then that's all right with the Board.

MS. SEABORN: Madam Chair, if I could just make one brief comment on this issue. Based on the memorandum that came around to all parties yesterday with respect to OFIA's request for an extension, the instructions from my client are, we are not to oppose that request; however, to let the Board know that we are ready to file our terms and conditions. My instructions as of today are to file them on September 28th.

Based on a general extension to all parties, I will go back to my client and ask them whether or not they want to have more time. At this

- point in time, I can tell you that we do intend to file next Friday.
- MADAM CHAIR: Well, we certainly don't

 want to prevent parties who are ready to do that, but

 the idea behind granting this request is that in some

 way it would improve the exercise.
- 7 MS. SEABORN: I understand that.
- MADAM CHAIR: The parties would have

 9 fuller information and would be able to do more work

 10 with respect to finalizing the terms and conditions.
- MS. SEABORN: Thank you.
- MADAM CHAIR: I think the only other

 matter has to do with sitting on Monday, October the

 lst. We are not going to be sitting the whole day on

 Monday, October the 1st and we won't know until next

 week exactly which hours we are sitting, but we will

 send a fax out to the full-time parties the minute we

 know what those hours will be.
- MR. HUFF: Is there any tentative time?

 It is the first day of our hearing and we have a lot of people that want to see our opening address and we have to inform them.
- MADAM CHAIR: No, we don't know if it is

 going to be a matter of taking a bit of time out of the

 morning or the afternoon, but certainly the Board will

| 1 | sit later on Monday if that will accommodate some of |
|-----|--|
| 2 | your witnesses. It looks like the morning to us right |
| 3 | now. |
| 4 | MS. SWENARCHUK: That is, it looks like |
| 5 | we will be sitting in the morning? |
| 6 | MADAM CHAIR: It looks like we won't be |
| 7 | sitting in the morning. We would originally start at |
| 8 | ten o'clock. It looks to us as though we wouldn't |
| 9 | start until the afternoon, after the lunch break and |
| L 0 | then we would sit later. |
| 11 | We have planned on sitting until five, |
| 12 | but certainly if your schedule is getting fractured |
| 13 | here a bit and so if you have a suggestion to us about |
| L 4 | what time you would like to us to sit Monday night, |
| 15 | then we are open to that. |
| 16 | MS. SWENARCHUK: We will wait for the |
| L7 | Board's fax. |
| 18 | MADAM CHAIR: Thank you. |
| 19 | We haven't had a scoping session for a |
| 20 | long time, I forget how we proceed with these things. |
| 21 | Ms. Swenarchuk, do you want me to go |
| 22 | around and ask each of the parties or would you like |
| 23 | each of the parties to clarify what they have said in |
| 24 | their statements? |
| 25 | MS. SWENARCHUK: I have no questions for |

| 1 | the other parties, aside from perhaps Mr. Hanna who |
|----|---|
| 2 | didn't specify any areas of cross-examination. |
| 3 | Discussion off the record. |
| 4 | MADAM CHAIR: Did you have a question of |
| 5 | clarification for Mr. Hanna? |
| 6 | MS. SWENARCHUK: If Mr. Hanna is able now |
| 7 | to provide more details on areas that he is going to |
| 8 | cross-examine, because it is identified just as |
| 9 | paragraphs 2 to 8, that would be helpful. |
| 10 | I have no other questions. I was looking |
| 11 | forward to hearing whether the Board has specific areas |
| 12 | that it wants to hear from us. |
| 13 | MADAM CHAIR: Mr. Hanna, did you have |
| 14 | anything to add to your statement of issues? |
| 15 | MR. HANNA: Madam Chair, I'm in the |
| 16 | difficult position of not being able to answer and the |
| 17 | reason I am not able to answer is, we had submitted |
| 18 | fairly extensive interrogatories on Panel 1, hoping as |
| 19 | as much as possible to deal with the issues that could |
| 20 | be dealt with outside the hearing room as possible, to |
| 21 | use this time as efficiently as possible. |
| 22 | Unfortunately, I haven't received and I |
| 23 | hadn't received at the time that my statement of issues |
| 24 | were submitted to the Board interrogatory responses. |
| 25 | I have laid out in our interrogatories I |

| 1 | think fairly comprehensively the types of issues that I |
|-----|---|
| 2 | would like to pursue with this panel, but until I see |
| 3 | thoses responses I can't direct the Board or provide |
| 4 | the Board with any further insight as to what areas I |
| 5 | will pursue and what areas I wouldn't pursue. |
| 6 | I could, and I actually considered it in |
| 7 | my statement of issues, to basically do a precis of our |
| 8 | interrogatories, but I didn't really feel that was |
| 9 | useful. |
| . 0 | MS. SWENARCHUK: The interrogatories will |
| .1 | be available Monday, I expect. That's fine, I |
| . 2 | understand the issues |
| .3 | MADAM CHAIR: All right. Fine. |
| 4 | The Board had two comments to make on |
| . 5 | issues that we would like Dr. Hutchinson to make clear |
| 16 | in his evidence. The first has to do with what we have |
| .7 | heard from the Ministry of Natural Resources. |
| 18 | Their evidence so far with respect to |
| 19 | clearcutting and the nutritional status and hydrology |
| 20 | of a site appears to be that there are certainly |
| 21 | short-term negative impacts, but over the long term the |
| 22 | clearcut areas are resilient and recover to some |
| 23 | extent, if not entirely on some sites. |
| 24 | Dr. Hutchinson's evidence suggests that |
| 25 | nutrition depletions are a very serious concern, that |

| 1 | inevitably cause restrictions on the second growth or |
|----|---|
| 2 | further generation of forests, and the Board would like |
| 3 | Dr. Hutchinson to concentrate on what those long-term |
| 4 | effects are. Certainly he addressess it throughout his |
| 5 | witness statements, but we would like to have his |
| 6 | discussion of that in one part of the evidence where we |
| 7 | can look at what he sees to be the long-term effects of |
| 8 | clearcutting and where he sees that there really aren't |
| 9 | reversible improvements in a site. |
| 10 | The second point we would like Dr. |
| 11 | Hutchinson to talk about in his evidence is, he touches |
| 12 | on the problem of global warming and we want to know in |
| 13 | his opinion whether he thinks that the potential |
| 14 | effects of this phenomenon or this event is something |
| 15 | that can be dealt with in timber management planning |
| 16 | today or can it be addressed in a timber management |
| 17 | planning process. |
| 18 | How long do you expect Dr. Hutchinson to |
| 19 | be giving his evidence? |
| 20 | MS. SWENARCHUK: Not for more than two |
| 21 | days. |
| 22 | MADAM CHAIR: All right. So if we don't |
| 23 | finish that week of the 1st, then he would finish very |
| 24 | quickly the following Monday. |
| 25 | MS. SWENARCHUK: I expect so. |

| 1 | MADAM CHAIR: Do we have an idea of how |
|----|--|
| 2 | long the parties will be in cross-examination? |
| 3 | Mr. Freidin? |
| 4 | MR. FREIDIN: Two days. |
| 5 | MADAM CHAIR: Mr. Hanna? |
| 6 | MR. HANNA: Madam Chair, I hate to resort |
| 7 | to the same line, but unfortunately that's the case I |
| 8 | am faced with. |
| 9 | As I say, I had attempted through the |
| 10 | interrogatories to try and deal as much as possible |
| 11 | with matters that could be dealt with outside the |
| 12 | hearing room. Seeing I haven't got those responses, I |
| 13 | really can't assess that for the Board. If we receive |
| 14 | responsive and comprehensive answers to the |
| 15 | interrogatories, I don't imagine it would be more than |
| 16 | half a day, but it's strictly speculation at this |
| 17 | point. |
| 18 | MADAM CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Hanna. |
| 19 | Ms. Seaborn? |
| 20 | MS. SEABORN: Two to three hours, Madam |
| 21 | Chair. |
| 22 | MADAM CHAIR: Mr. Cassidy? |
| 23 | MR. CASSIDY: One to two days. |
| 24 | MADAM CHAIR: We have haven't heard from |
| 25 | any of the other parties. Do you have any information, |

| 1 | Ms. Swenarchuk, that would suggest there will be any |
|-----|---|
| 2 | other cross-examination on this panel? |
| 3 | MS. SWENARCHUK: I have heard nothing |
| 4 | from any other parties. |
| 5 | MADAM CHAIR: All right. Then we will |
| 6 | assume that's it for the parties who will |
| 7 | cross-examine. |
| 8 | Has everyone received a copy of the |
| 9 | Board's schedule through December? |
| .0 | MR. CASSIDY: You are referring to the |
| .1 | revised schedule? |
| . 2 | MADAM CHAIR: The revised schedule, yes. |
| .3 | MR. CASSIDY: Yes, thank you. |
| 4 | MADAM CHAIR: All right. Well, I don't |
| .5 | think we have any other business today then. |
| .6 | Mr. Freidin? |
| .7 | MR. FREIDIN: There is one matter I would |
| .8 | like to raise. You will recall there was some |
| .9 | discussion before we started the satellite hearings, |
| 20 | again regarding the witness statement from |
| 21 | Beardmore/Nipigon Watchdog Society, and the question as |
| 22 | to whether or not interrogatories should be answered if |
| 23 | posed. |
| 24 | I think the Board has received a copy of |
| 25 | Ms. Murphy's letter to Forests for Tomorrow dated |

25

| - | *** | September the 19th, 1990 with enclosure, the enclosure |
|---|-----|---|
| | | being interrogatories which the Ministry has imposed in |
| | | relation to that witness statement. |

You will recall, Madam Chair, that the concern raised some weeks ago was that without having seen that witness statement it was difficult to indicate, to know what the subject of it would be, but it is the view of the Ministry that Mr. Marek is being put forward as an expert witness. No question that he is opining on matters which only an expert could provide evidence on in terms of silviculture.

really of Panel 3. It deals with some of the similar matters, but goes on and expands on some of them and in my opinion, having regard to the evidence being of that nature and having it being so closely connected to Panel 3, that it would justify an order that interrogatories be answered.

Secondly, a lot of the questions which have been posed are factual in nature and I think those questions should be answered for the simple reason that it would expedite the cross-examination. If we could get the answers to those questions and the questions which Mr. Marek would have to answer as an expert, it is going to save some time when it comes to

| 1 | cross-examination, but I don't know what he is going to |
|----|---|
| 2 | say if he doesn't deal with those answers. |
| 3 | I know a concern was raised by Forests |
| 4 | for Tomorrow the last day about the cost of |
| 5 | distribution. I understood the Board had graciously |
| 6 | offered to arrange for that distribution if Forests for |
| 7 | Tomorrow would make the answers available to the Board. |
| 8 | Under the circumstances, Madam Chair, I |
| 9 | think it would be appropriate that the questions posed |
| 10 | by the Ministry and other questions which may be posed |
| 11 | by other parties be responded to in a timely fashion in |
| 12 | relation to the statement of the Beardmore/Nipigon |
| 13 | Watchdog Society. |
| 14 | MADAM CHAIR: Do the other parties plan |
| 15 | on submitting interrogatories with respect to the |
| 16 | Beardmore submission the Beardmore witness |
| 17 | statement? |
| 18 | MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair, I can't give |
| 19 | you a hundred per cent guarantee of that, but it is my |
| 20 | understanding that my clients will be submitting |
| 21 | interrogatories. There are meetings going on virtually |
| 22 | as we speak with respect to that and I understand the |
| 23 | intention is that interrogatories are required from my |
| 24 | client's perspective. |

MADAM CHAIR: Ms. Seaborn?

25

| 1 | MS. SEABORN: I believe, Madam Chair, |
|-----|---|
| 2 | that we have four or five interrogatories in relation |
| 3 | to this witness statement. They have not gone out yet, |
| 4 | but they have been prepared. |
| 5 | MADAM CHAIR: Mr. Hanna? |
| 6 | MR. HANNA: Madam Chair, I'm not in a |
| 7 | position to respond specifically to that at this time. |
| 8 | I would, however, say that from my point |
| 9 | of view, and certainly it is something that I will |
| LO | commit to this Board when we are presenting this |
| 11 | evidence, I would support the Board encouraging as much |
| 12 | factual exchange of information outside of the hearing |
| 1.3 | as possible to expedite the process. I am certainly |
| 14 | willing to cooperate in that respect. |
| 1.5 | What I am hearing Mr. Freidin saying is |
| 16 | that questions should be asked either through |
| 17 | interrogatory or in this room and I would like to look |
| 18 | through the witness statement. If there are factual |
| 19 | questions that I would have to ask in this room, I |
| 20 | think it is much better to ask it through an |
| 21 | interrogatory. I can't say for sure whether there are |
| 22 | questions that I would want to pose. |
| 23 | MADAM CHAIR: Ms. Swenarchuk? |
| 24 | MS. SWENARCHUK: Madam Chair, I would |
| 25 | just like to once again reiterate that this witness |

| L | statement is not the witness statement of Forests for |
|---|---|
| 2 | Tomorrow and it is not matter of Forests for Tomorrow |
| 3 | agreeing or not agreeing to respond to interrogatories. |

To be quite blunt, Forests for Tomorrow has nothing do with this witness statement. My client is the Beardmore/Lake Nipigon Watchdog Society. I have no instructions from that society to agree to the answering of interrogatories. Of course, the society will comply with whatever Board order should be made.

I can only repeat my concerns expressed to you in the past which is that this is a very small community group without the resources to, in my estimation and I have discussed this with them, enter into this type of expense.

I appreciate the Board's offer to distribute the interrogatories. Of course, the society is still faced with the cost of paying the consultant to have them answered and, therefore, our position on this cannot change.

MADAM CHAIR: Well, the Board isn't going to make a decision about this right now. We haven't seen Ms. Murphy's letter of September 19th. We were in Hearst, as you recall. The letter is in our office and we will be taking at look at it.

- We have two issues we want to look at.

| 1 | The first is, to what extent there is overlap between |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Panel 3 and the statement by the Beardmore Society. |
| 3 | What's the point of putting questions, interrogatories |
| 4 | to the Beardmore witness statement if some of that |
| 5 | information can be answered in Panel 3. |
| 6 | Secondly, the Board does have the |
| 7 | Board is very sympathetic to small parties that try to |
| 8 | appear before us and we are not happy that this process |
| 9 | is particularly accommodating to small parties and it |
| L 0 | is the Board's intention to make it as accessible as |
| 11 | possible. So we are going to take account of that in |
| L2 | our decision and we will let the parties know next |
| L3 | week. |
| 14 | MS. SWENARCHUK: If I could only add that |
| 15 | it would be my view, having seen the questions |
| 16 | submitted by the Ministry, that it would take a |
| 17 | substantial amount of time for the consultant to |
| 18 | |
| | respond to them. |
| 19 | respond to them. I am not suggesting there is anything |
| 19 | |
| | I am not suggesting there is anything |
| 20 | I am not suggesting there is anything improper about that, but that's part I think of the |
| 20 | I am not suggesting there is anything improper about that, but that's part I think of the cost concern for the group as well. I don't know |

Madam Chair, that the consultant is Mr. Marek.

25

| Τ | MADAM CHAIR: 165. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | MR. FREIDIN: It's not as if they are |
| 3 | going to have to hire somebody to answer his questions. |
| 4 | MS. SWENARCHUK: Excuse me. |
| 5 | MADAM CHAIR: No, I think the question is |
| 6 | they have to pay him, not hire him. |
| 7 | MS. SWENARCHUK: Absolutely. This is not |
| 8 | on a volunteer basis. |
| 9 | MADAM CHAIR: It would be helpful to the |
| . 0 | Board when its making this decision if the other |
| .1 | parties could give us some idea about what their |
| . 2 | interrogatories will be, if you could not |
| .3 | necessarily have word for word what your questions will |
| . 4 | be, but if you could get something to us by next |
| .5 | Tuesday to show us the sorts of questions that you |
| .6 | would want to ask by way of interrogatory to Mr. Marek |
| .7 | that would help our decision. |
| .8 | Is there anything else? |
| .9 | MS. SWENARCHUK: We were about to deliver |
| 20 | a letter to the Board and I will simply tell you all |
| 21 | about it now. It has to do with the scheduling for |
| 22 | Panel 2 of our case, and we simply wish to advise the |
| 23 | Board and other parties, as the letter indicates, that |
| 24 | we will be calling these witnesses individually rather |
| 25 | than as a panel and that two of the witnesses will not |

| 1 | appear in the immediate sequence of the previous ones, |
|----|---|
| 2 | but will be called later because of scheduling issues. |
| 3 | MADAM CHAIR: And the other parties don't |
| 4 | object to this? |
| 5 | MR. HANNA: Madam Chair, this is on a |
| 6 | point that I have to rise on. Ms. Swenarchuk has |
| 7 | repeatedly done this and I have to bring it to the |
| 8 | Board's attention, I wish I didn't. In her letter she |
| 9 | says: |
| 10 | "We have spoken to other counsel about |
| 11 | this matter" |
| 12 | The Board is well aware I am not counsel, |
| 13 | the Board knows that I am here representing a party. |
| 14 | This is the fourth time I have not been consulted on |
| 15 | matters such as this. |
| 16 | I believe that the Board should direct |
| 17 | Ms. Swenarchuk to ensure that the Ontario Federation of |
| 18 | Anglers & Hunters is consulted before these sort of |
| 19 | matters are brought forward. |
| 20 | MADAM CHAIR: Ms. Swenarchuk, do you have |
| 21 | any is there some problem in discussing these |
| 22 | matters with Mr. Hanna as you do with the other |
| 23 | counsel? |
| 24 | MS. SWENARCHUK: There is no problem at |
| 25 | all. Mr. Hanna might note that I haven't signed this |

| 1 | letter, I am not the author of this letter. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | May I simply say that undoubtedly many |
| 3 | parties or various parties to this proceeding at |
| 4 | various times would wish for more communication than |
| 5 | they receive from various other parties. We don't all |
| 6 | find it necessary to bring that before the Board. |
| 7 | MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair, I would just |
| 8 | like to make one comment with respect to this proposal. |
| 9 | We received a phone call late yesterday |
| L 0 | from Mr. Lindgren in respect of it. I am not in a |
| 11 | position at this moment to take a position on it |
| .2 | because I would like to have an opportunity to speak to |
| 13 | Mr. Cosman who is going to be conducting part of the |
| 14 | cross-examinations in this witness statement. As I |
| L5 | think you may be aware, he is not in this country at |
| 16 | the moment, but will be back early next week. |
| L7 | If there is a problem, I will certainly |
| 18 | communicate that to Ms. Swenarchuk and the Board as |
| L9 | soon as possible upon speaking to Mr. Cosman, but I |
| 20 | will make that known at the earliest possible |
| 21 | opportunity so as not to inconvenience anyone. |
| 22 | MADAM CHAIR: Mr. Freidin? |
| 23 | MR. FREIDIN: I just have one brief |
| 24 | question. Are you able to do you know whether you |
| | |

are going to call the other witnesses in the order

25

| 1 | their witness statements appear, Michelle? | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | MS- SWENARCHUK: I don't know that, but | |
| 3 | you are free to call Rick. | |
| 4 | MR. FREIDIN: Oh, Mr. Lindgren. | |
| 5 | MADAM CHAIR: Is that everything? | |
| 6 | Thank you very much. We will see you in | |
| 7 | Geraldton next week or here on Monday, October the 1st. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 9:00 a.m., to be reconvened in Geralton, Ontario, Tuesday, | |
| 10 | September 25, 1990 commencing at 2:00 p.m. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | soon as possible upol, systilized to the race I because | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | MC [c. copyright 1985] | |



